

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
5

6 ERIC L. CHRISTIAN,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 JASON FRIERSON, et al.,

10 Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-01160-RFB-NJK

ORDER

(Docket Nos. 5, 9, 12, 13, 14)

11 Plaintiff is proceeding in this action *pro se* and has requested authority pursuant to
12 28 U.S.C. § 1915 to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Docket No. 1.

13 Pursuant to § 1915(e), the Court must screen the Plaintiff's complaint prior to its filing and
14 prior to it being served on any defendant. Federal courts are given the authority to dismiss a case
15 if the action is legally "frivolous or malicious," fails to state a claim upon which relief may be
16 granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C.
17 § 1915(e)(2). As Plaintiff has been advised by the Court, this process can take several months.
18 Docket No. 3 at 1.

19 Here, Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* has not yet been decided and his
20 complaint has not yet been screened. *See* Docket. Therefore, Plaintiff's motions – which rely on
21 his inaccurate contention that his complaint was filed and that Defendants have been served and
22 have failed to respond – are properly **DENIED**. Docket Nos. 5, 9, 12, 13, 14.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Dated: August 7, 2024.

25
26 
27 Nancy J. Koppe
28 United States Magistrate Judge